This article was downloaded by: On: 24 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Liquid Chromatography & Related Technologies

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713597273

Letter-On the Problem of Measuring t_o

Eli Grushka^{ab}; Henri Colin^a; Georges Guiochon^a ^a Laboratoire de Chimie Analytique Physique ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE Route de Saclay, PALAISEAU, Cedex, FRANCE ^b Dept. of Inorganic and Analytical Chemistry, The Hebrew University, JERUSALEM, ISRAEL

To cite this Article Grushka, Eli , Colin, Henri and Guiochon, Georges(1982) 'Letter-On the Problem of Measuring t₀', Journal of Liquid Chromatography & Related Technologies, 5: 7, 1391 – 1393 **To link to this Article: DOI:** 10.1080/01483918208067597 **URL:** http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01483918208067597

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

JOURNAL OF LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY, 5(7), 1391-1393 (1982)

LETTER:

ON THE PROBLEM OF MEASURING t

Dear Sir,

We read with much interest the recent paper of Neidhart et.al. which appeared recently in this Journal (1). The problem of t, the so called "void time" or "inert time" is indeed a difficult one. Several reports have appeared recently discussing the measurement of t (e.g.2). The paper by Neidhart et.al.(1), however, raises some questions as to its validity. Take , for example, the dead time determination using the Nucleosil 10-C18 column. From the given dimensions of the column - 25 cm length and 2.9 mm i.d- its empty volume is calculated to be 1.65 mL. Yet, the to calculated for that column was about 2.05 min., which at a flow rate of 1 mL/min (as indicated by the authors), means a void volume of 2.05 mL. It is hard to imagine a column whose total porosity is greater than ! ! Similar calculations for the other columns show that, while the void volume is less now than that of the unpacked columns, the porosities are much too high, e.g. 0.9. These findings require an explanation. Could it be that the dead volume of the system was very large ?

We tried to apply the approach of Neidhard <u>et al</u> to the data of Vigh and Varga-Puchony (3). Table IV of reference 3 shows that ΔH values of n-hexanal-dinitrophenylhydrazone and 2-n-hexanone-dinitophenylhydrazone are almost the same - 4.17 kcal/mole vs-4.15 kcal/mole. For such a system, t_o should be obtainable via equation 6 of ref. 1. Since Vigh and Varga-Puchony did not report t_R values, we assumed t_o of 1 and have calculated "appearent t_R" values from the given capacity ratios. When these t_R values are used in conjunction with equation 6 of ref. 1,

1391

Copyright © 1982 by Marcel Dekker, Inc.

 t_o of 1 should result. However, the value obtained depends on the two temperatures chosen . For instance if T_1 is 20°C and T_2 60°C, then t_o is .786; if T_2 is 43.6°C, then t_o is 0.404, last, if T_1 is 43.6°C and T_2 60°C, then t_o is 1.101. The problem lies in the assumption used to arrive at equation 6 of ref. 1. If the Δ S values of the two solutes are very similar then, using the notation of ref. 1, we have:

$$t_{RA}(T_1) \approx t_{RB}(T_1)$$

and

$$t_{RA} (T_2) \approx t_{RB} (T_2)$$

In such a case equation 6 of ref. 1 demands very high precision of the measured t_R values, and its practicality diminishes. When the ΔH and ΔS values of the solutes are the same,the equation cannot be used. In that connection, it is not clear to us why the retention times in Fig.1 of ref. 1 are so different when the reported ΔH and k_o (a measure of ΔS) are so close in magnitude.

In summary, the method given in ref. 1 should be used, if at all, only after careful examination of the chromatographic parameters.

> Eli GRUSHKA^{*} Henri COLIN Georges GUIOCHON

Laboratoire de Chimie Analytique Physique ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE Route de Saclay, 91128 PALAISEAU Cedex, FRANCE

Permanent address : Dept.of Inorganic and Analytical Chemistry The Hebrew University JERUSALEM, ISRAEL

REFERENCES

- (1) B. NEIDHART, K.P. KRINGE and W. BROCKMAN, J. Liq. Chromatogr. <u>4</u>, 1875-1886 (1981)
- (2) M.J.M. WELLS and C.R. CLARK, Anal. Chem., 53, 1341-1345 (1981)
- (3) GY VIGH and Z. VARGA-PUCHONY, J. Chromatogr. 196, 1-9 (1980)